## Low SNR analysis of the non-coherent MIMO channel under arbitrary channel and noise correlation structures

Marko Beko, João Xavier and Victor Barroso

Instituto de Sistemas e Robótica (ISR) - Instituto Superior Técnico Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal {marko,jxavier,vab}@isr.ist.utl.pt



▷ The on-off signaling: for any 
$$\epsilon>1$$
, $m{S}=m{S}_{on}
ho^{-rac{\epsilon}{2}}$  w.p.  $ho^\epsilon$ ;  $m{S}=m{0}$  w.p.  $1-
ho^\epsilon$ 

 $\triangleright$  At sufficiently low SNR

$$I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{S}) = \frac{\rho}{M} \operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{S}_{on} \right) \boldsymbol{K} \left( \boldsymbol{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{S}_{on}^H \right) \right) + o(\rho),$$
(1)

 $\triangleright$  We maximize I(X; S) in (1) w.r.t  $S_{on}$  and K

$$\max \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{\Upsilon}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{on} \mathbf{K} \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{on}^{H} \right)$$
(2)  
$$\operatorname{tr} \left( \mathbf{S}_{on} \mathbf{S}_{on}^{H} \right) \leq TM$$
  
$$\mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{P}_{MN}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{ \mathbf{Y} : n \times n \text{ matrix such that } \mathbf{Y} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \text{ tr}(\mathbf{Y}) = n \}$ and  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{on} = \mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{S}_{on}.$   $\triangleright$  The maximum in (1) is attained by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on} = \mathsf{ivec}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{on}), \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = M N \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{H}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$(\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{on}) = \arg \max \quad \boldsymbol{s}_{on}^{H} \boldsymbol{M}_{on} \boldsymbol{s}_{on} \qquad (4)$$
$$||\boldsymbol{u}|| = 1,$$
$$||\boldsymbol{s}_{on}|| \leq \sqrt{TM}$$

with  $\boldsymbol{M}_{on} = \boldsymbol{K}_{on}^{H} \left( \left( \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}^{H} \right)^{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{K}_{on}, \, \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{MN}$  and  $\boldsymbol{s}_{on} = \operatorname{vec} \left( \boldsymbol{S}_{on} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{TM}. \, TMN^{2} \times TM$  matrix  $\boldsymbol{K}_{on}$  is such that

$$\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{N}\otimes\boldsymbol{S}_{on}
ight)=\boldsymbol{K}_{on}\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{on}
ight)$$

- The optimization problem in (4) always admits a solution (maximization of a continuous function over a compact set)
- ▷ For the choice in (3), the maximal mutual information (p.c.u) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{T}I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{S}) = \rho N M \hat{\lambda} + o(\rho).$$

where

$$\hat{\lambda} = \underline{\widehat{s}}^{H} \boldsymbol{K}_{on}^{H} \left( \left( \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{H} \right)^{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{K}_{on} \underline{\widehat{s}}$$
(5)

and  $\underline{\widehat{s}} = 1/\sqrt{TM} \, \widehat{s}_{on}$ .

Fixed eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{K}$ 

- $\triangleright$  In practice, by changing the antenna separation one can control the eigenvalues of K, but not their eigenvectors.
- $\triangleright$  In this case, the optimization problem defined in (2) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = \underset{\|\boldsymbol{S}_{on}\| \leq \sqrt{TM}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{U}^{H} \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on}^{H} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)  
$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{S}_{on}\| \leq \sqrt{TM} \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{D}_{MN} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{ \boldsymbol{Y} : n \times n \text{ diagonal matrix such that } \boldsymbol{Y} \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \text{ and } tr(\boldsymbol{Y}) = n \}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{U}^H$  is the EVD of  $\boldsymbol{K}$ .

Fixed eigenvectors of K

 $\triangleright$  The maximum in (6) is attained by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on} = \sqrt{TM} \operatorname{ivec}(\boldsymbol{s}_{\max}), \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = MN\boldsymbol{u}_{i^*}\boldsymbol{u}_{i^*}^H,$$
(7)

where  $u_i$ 's are the eigenvectors of K,  $s_{\max}$  is an unit-norm eigenvector associated to the  $\lambda_{\max}\left(M_{on}^{i^*}\right)$  with

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{on}^{i^{*}} = \boldsymbol{K}_{on}^{H} \left( \left( \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}} \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}}^{H} \right)^{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{K}_{on}$$
(8)

and

$$i^{*} = \arg \max \quad \lambda_{\max} \left( \boldsymbol{M}_{on}^{i} \right).$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., MN$$
(9)

Fixed eigenvectors of K

▷ For the choice in (7), the maximal mutual information (p.c.u.) is given by

$$\frac{1}{T}I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{S}) = \rho N M \lambda_{\max} \left(\boldsymbol{M}_{on}^{i^*}\right) + o(\rho).$$

▷ Conclusions:

- From (3) and (7) we see that  $\boldsymbol{K}$  should be of rank one
- Correlated Rayleigh fading channel is beneficial from capacity viewpoint. Gain of order M with respect to uncorrelated Rayleigh fading channel
- On-off signaling attains the known channel capacity
- Correlation in noise is beneficial too,  $\hat{\lambda} \geq 1$

On-off signaling is unpracticable due to large peakiness of the input signal

 $\triangleright$  Let  ${\boldsymbol{s}} = {\rm vec}({\boldsymbol{S}}) {\sim} \mathcal{CN}({\boldsymbol{0}}, {\boldsymbol{P}}).$  At sufficiently low SNR

$$I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{S}) = \frac{\rho^2}{2M^2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathsf{E}[\boldsymbol{Z}^2] - (\mathsf{E}[\boldsymbol{Z}])^2 \right) + o(\rho^2)$$
(10)  
where  $\boldsymbol{Z} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on} \boldsymbol{K} \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{on}^H \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

 $\triangleright$  We maximize  $I({\boldsymbol X};{\boldsymbol S})$  in (10) w.r.t  ${\boldsymbol P}$  and  ${\boldsymbol K}$ 

$$\max \quad \mathsf{tr}\left(\mathsf{E}[\boldsymbol{Z}^2] - (\mathsf{E}[\boldsymbol{Z}])^2\right) \tag{11}$$
$$\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathcal{H}_{TM}, \boldsymbol{K} \in \mathcal{P}_{MN}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_n = \{ \boldsymbol{P} : n \times n \text{ matrices such that } \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^H \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \text{ and } tr(\boldsymbol{P}) \leq n \}.$ 

 $\triangleright$  The maximum in (11) is attained by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{P}} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{on} \widehat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{on}^{H}, \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = M N \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{H}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$(\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{on}) = \arg \max \quad \boldsymbol{s}_{on}^{H} \boldsymbol{M}_{on} \boldsymbol{s}_{on} \qquad (13)$$
$$||\boldsymbol{u}|| = 1,$$
$$||\boldsymbol{s}_{on}|| \leq \sqrt{TM}$$

▷ For the choice in (12), the maximal mutual information (p.c.u) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{T}I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{S}) = \frac{\rho^2}{2} N^2 T M^2 \hat{\lambda}^2 + o(\rho^2)$$

where

$$\hat{\lambda} = \widehat{\underline{s}}^H K_{on}^H \left( \left( \widehat{u} \widehat{u}^H \right)^T \otimes \Upsilon^{-1} \right) K_{on} \widehat{\underline{s}}$$

and  $\underline{\widehat{s}} = 1/\sqrt{TM} \, \widehat{s}_{on}$ .

#### Fixed eigenvectors of K

 $\triangleright$  When the eigenvalues of K are fixed then the maximum of (11) is attained by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{P}} = TM \, \boldsymbol{s}_{\max} \boldsymbol{s}_{\max}^{H}, \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = MN \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}} \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}}^{H}, \quad (14)$$

where  $u_i$ 's are the eigenvectors of K,  $s_{\max}$  is an unit-norm eigenvector associated to the  $\lambda_{\max}\left(M_{on}^{i^*}\right)$  with

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{on}^{i^{*}} = \boldsymbol{K}_{on}^{H} \left( \left( \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}} \boldsymbol{u}_{i^{*}}^{H} \right)^{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{K}_{on}$$
(15)

and

$$i^{*} = \arg \max \quad \lambda_{\max} \left( \boldsymbol{M}_{on}^{i} \right).$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., MN$$
(16)



▷ Conclusions:

- From (12) and (14) we see that  $oldsymbol{K}$  should be of rank one
- Correlated Rayleigh fading channel is beneficial from capacity viewpoint. Gain of order  $M^2N$  with respect to uncorrelated Rayleigh fading channel

– Correlation in noise is beneficial too,  $\hat{\lambda} \geq 1$ 

# References

- M. Beko, J. Xavier, and V. Barroso, "Capacity and error probability analysis of non-coherent MIMO systems in the low SNR regime," in *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing* (ICASSP), Honolulu, HI USA, 2007.
- [2] M. Beko, J. Xavier, and V. Barroso, "Further results on the capacity and error probability analysis of non-coherent MIMO systems in the low SNR regime," accepted for publication in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing.*
- [3] A. Lozano, A. Tulino, and S. Verdú, "Multiple-antenna capacity in the low-power regime," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 49, pp. 2527-2544, Oct. 2003.
- [4] I. Abou-Faycal, M. D. Trott, and S. Shamai, "The capacity of discrete-time memoryless Rayleigh fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1290-1301, May 2001.
- [5] S. Verdú, "Spectral efficiency in the wideband regime," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 48, pp. 1319-1343, June 2002.
- [6] V. Prelov and S. Verdú, "Second-order asymptotics of mutual information,"

IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 50, pp. 1567-1580, Aug. 2004.

- [7] B. Hajek and V. Subramaniam, "Capacity and reliability function for small peak signal constraints," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 48, pp. 828-839, Apr. 2002.
- [8] C. Rao and B. Hassibi, "Analysis of multiple-antenna wireless links at low SNR," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2123-2130, Sep. 2004.
- [9] X. Wu and R. Srikant, "MIMO channels in the low SNR regime: communication rate, error exponent and signal peakiness," in *Proc. of IEEE Information Theory Workshop*, 2004.
- [10] W. Weichselberger, M. Herdin, H. Ozcelik, E. Bonek, "A stochastic MIMO channel model with joint correlation of both link ends," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 90-100, Jan. 2006.
- [11] J. M. Borwein, A. S. Lewis, *Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization: Theory and Examples.*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [12] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker, *Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics.*, Revised Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1999.