Nonlinear Optimization (18799 B, PP) IST-CMU PhD course Spring 2018 Instructor: João Xavier (jxavier@isr.ist.utl.pt) TA: Hung Tuan (hung.seadc@gmail.com)

The homework is due June 25.

Homework 4

Problem A. (Robust fusion of estimates) Let $\hat{\theta}_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$, i = 1, ..., k be estimates of some unknown parameter $\theta \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Assume that each estimate is unbiased ($\mathbf{E}(\hat{\theta}_i) = \theta$ for all i) and has known error covariance matrix

$$P_i := \mathbf{E}\left((\widehat{\theta}_i - \theta)(\widehat{\theta}_i - \theta)^T\right).$$

Assume that each P_i is constant (*i.e.*, each P_i is independent of θ); furthermore, consider that all estimates are uncorrelated. For example, this might represent a setup where kindependent localization systems give us estimates $\hat{\theta}_i$ of an unknown target position θ . In such a setup, each P_i being constant means that the statistical accuracy of each one of the localization systems is the same regardless of the target position.

We want to fuse linearly the estimates $\hat{\theta}_i$ to produce the final estimate

$$\widehat{\theta} = W_1 \widehat{\theta}_1 + W_2 \widehat{\theta}_2 + \dots + W_k \widehat{\theta}_k,$$

where $W_i \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ denote weighting matrices to be found.

It can be shown that, if we want to make $\hat{\theta}$ an unbiased estimate with the lowest mean square error (MSE),

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\widehat{\theta}-\theta\right\|^{2}
ight)$$

then we must choose the weighting matrices as

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{W_1,\ldots,W_k}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(W_i P_i W_i^T \right) \\
\text{subject to} & \sum_{i=1}^k W_i = I_n,
\end{array}$$
(1)

where $I_{n \times n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Now, assume that the error covariance matrices P_i giving the accuracy of the estimates are uncertain. We know only lower and upper bounds of P_i , *i.e.*, in compact notation, $L_i \leq P_i \leq U_i$, where $L_i, U_i \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ are known. Here, the notation $L_i \leq P_i$ means that each entry of P_i is greater than or equal to the corresponding entry in L_i ; the meaning of $P_i \leq U_i$ is similar.

To protect the final estimate $\hat{\theta}$ against this uncertainty, we change problem (1) to

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{W_1,\ldots,W_k}{\text{minimize}} & \sup\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{tr}\left(W_i P_i W_i^T\right) : L_i \leq P_i \leq U_i, P_i \succeq 0 \text{ for all } i\right\} \\
\text{subject to} & \sum_{i=1}^k W_i = I_n.
\end{array}$$
(2)

The objective function in (2) computes — for given W_1, \ldots, W_k — the worst MSE in the uncertainty region (the constraint $P_i \succeq 0$ is included because covariance matrices are semidefinite positive). Note that the objective function is the optimal value of an optimization problem formulated over the variables P_1, \ldots, P_k . You can assume that, for each *i*, there exists a $P_i \succ 0$ such that $L_i \leq P_i \leq U_i$.

Formulate (2) as a SDP. You can add more variables.

Hint: you need to dualize the objective function of (2).