Modeling and Automation of Industrial Processes

Modelação e Automação de Processos Industriais / MAPI

Analysis of Discrete Event Systems

http://www.isr.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/~jag/courses/mapi2122

Prof. Paulo Jorge Oliveira, original slides Prof. José Gaspar, rev. 2021/2022

Syllabus:

...

. . .

Chap. 2a – Discrete Event Systems

Chap. 2b – Analysis of Discrete Event Systems

Properties of DESs.

Methodologies to analyze DESs: * The Reachability tree. * The Method of Matrix Equations.

Some pointers to Discrete Event Systems

History: <u>http://prosys.changwon.ac.kr/docs/petrinet/1.htm</u>

Tutorial:http://vita.bu.edu/cgc/MIDEDS/http://www.daimi.au.dk/PetriNets/

Analyzers,	http://www.ppgia.pucpr.br/~maziero/petri/arp.html (in Portuguese)
and	http://wiki.daimi.au.dk:8000/cpntools/cpntools.wiki
Simulators:	http://www.informatik.hu-berlin.de/top/pnk/download.html

Bibliography: * Cassandras, Christos G., "Discrete Event Systems - Modeling and PerformanceAnalysis", Aksen Associates, 1993.
* Peterson, James L., "Petri Net Theory and the Modeling of Systems", Prentice-Hall,1981
* Petri Nets and GRAFCET: Tools for Modelling Discrete Event Systems R. DAVID, H. ALLA, New York : PRENTICE HALL Editions, 1992

1. Reachability

Given a Petri net C=(*P*, *T*, *I*, *O*, μ_{θ}) with initial marking μ_{θ} , the set of all markings that can be obtained starting from μ is the **Reachable Set**, *R*(C, μ).

Note: in general $R(C, \mu)$ is infinite!

How to describe and compute $R(C, \mu)$?



Reachability problem: Given a Petri net C with initial marking μ_{θ} , does the marking μ' belong to the set of all markings that can be obtained, i.e. $\mu' \in R(C, \mu)$?

Property usage: State μ belongs / does not belong to R(C, μ₀). usage2: State μ is / is not reachable. usage3: Net C has a finite / infinite Reachable Set.

2. Coverability

Given a Petri net C=(*P*, *T*, *I*, *O*, μ_{θ}) with initial marking μ_{θ} and states μ , $\mu' \in R(C, \mu_{\theta})$ then μ' is covered by μ if $\mu'(i) \leq \mu$ (i), for all places $p_i \in P$. Equivalently, one says μ covers μ' .

Property usages:

State μ ' covers / does not cover state μ . State μ is / is not covered by state μ '. State μ is / is not coverable by other reachable states.

Note, μ ' not covered by μ does not imply μ ' covers μ .

Is it possible to use this property to help on the search for the reachable set? Yes! Details after some few slides.

3. Safeness

A place $p_i \in P$ of the Petri net C=(P, T, I, O, μ_0) is safe if for all $\mu' \in R(C, \mu_0)$: $\mu_i' \leq 1$.

A Petri net is safe if all its places are safe.





Petri net not safe

Petri net safe

Property usage: Place $p_i / \text{Net C}$ is / is not safe.

4. Boundedness

Given a Petri net C=(*P*, *T*, *I*, *O*, μ_{θ}), a **place** $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}} \in P$ is **k-bounded** if $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}' \leq k$ for all $\mu'=(\mu_{1}', ..., \mu_{\mathbf{i}}', ..., \mu_{N}') \in R(C, \mu_{\theta})$.

A Petri net is **k-bounded** if all places are k-bounded.



Petri net not bounded

Petri net 3-bounded

Property usage: Place $p_i / \text{Net C}$ is / is not k-bounded.

5. Conservation

A Petri net C=(*P*, *T*, *I*, *O*, μ_0) is strictly conservative if for all $\mu' \in R(C, \mu)$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \mu'(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}_i \in P} \mu(\mathbf{p}_i)$$





Petri net not strictly conservative

Petri net strictly conservative

Property usage: Net C is / is not (strictly) conservative.

6. Liveness

- A transition t_j is live of
- **Level 0** if it can **never** be fired (transition is *Dead*).
- Level 1 if it is potentially firable an upper-bounded number of times, i.e. if there exists $\mu' \in R(C, \mu)$ such that t_i is enabled in μ' .
- **Level 2** if for every integer *n*, there exists a firing sequence such that t_j occurs *n* times.
- Level 3 if there exists an infinite firing sequence such that t_i occurs infinite times.
- Level 4 if for each $\mu' \in R(C, \mu)$ there exist a sequence σ such that the transition t_i is enabled (transition is *Live*).

Example of liveness of transitions

- t_0 is of level 0.
- t_1 is of level 1.
- t_2 is of level 2.
- t_3 is of level 3.
- *this net does not have level 4 transitions.*



Reachability problem

Given a Petri net C=(*P*, *T*, *I*, *O*, μ_{θ}) with initial marking μ_{θ} and a marking μ ', is $\mu' \in R(C, \mu_{\theta})$ reachable?

Analysis methods:

- 0- Brute force...
- 1- Reachability tree
- 2- Matrix equations

Analysis Methods, 1- Reachability Tree

Reachability Tree - construction [Peterson81, §4.2.1]

A reachability tree is a tree of reachable markings. **Tree nodes are states**. The root node is the initial state (marking).

It is constituted by three types of nodes:

- Terminal	no state changes after a terminal state
- Interior	state can change after
- Duplicated	state already found in the tree

The infinity marking symbol (ω) is introduced whenever a marking covers other. This symbol allows obtaining finite trees.

The reachability tree is useful to study properties previously introduced. Some examples later.

Analysis Methods, 1- Reachability Tree

Reachability Tree - examples

Three types of nodes: Terminal, Interior or Duplicated



The infinity marking symbol (ω) is introduced whenever a marking covers other. This symbol allows obtaining finite trees.

Reachability Tree - construction [Peterson81, §4.2.1]

Algebra of the infinity symbol (ω):

For every positive integer *a* the following relations are verified:

1. $\omega + a = \omega$ 2. $\omega - a = \omega$ 3. $a < \omega$ 4. $\omega \le \omega$

Reachability Tree and Deadlocks

Theorem - If there exist terminal nodes in the reachability tree then the corresponding Petri net has *deadlocks*.



After t1 one obtains (1, 0, 0) which is covered by (1, 1, 0). Hence one introduces the infinity symbol, ω and writes the state as $(1, \omega, 0)$.



After t1 one obtains (1, 0, 0) which is covered by (1, 1, 0). Hence one introduces the infinity symbol, ω and writes the state as $(1, \omega, 0)$.



Reachability Tree vs Coverability Tree [Cassandras08, §4.4.2]

Considering a Petri net the **reachability tree** is "a tree whose root node is (...), then examine all transitions that can fire from this state, define new nodes in the tree, and repeat until all possible reachable states are identified."

"The reachability tree (...) may be infinite. A finite representation (...) is possible, but at the expense of losing some information. The finite version of an infinite reachability tree will be called a **coverability tree**."



(In this course we use Peterson's terminology, i.e. "reachability tree" in both cases)

Example1: simple Petri net, properties?

- (P, T, A, w, x_0)
- $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$

 $T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$

$$A = \{(p_1, t_1), (t_1, p_2), (t_1, p_3), (p_2, t_2), (p_3, t_3), (t_2, p_4), (t_3, p_5), (p_4, t_4), (p_5, t_4), (t_4, p_1)\}$$

$$w(p_1, t_1)=1, w(t_1, p_2)=1, w(t_1, p_3)=1, w(p_2, t_2)=1$$

 $w(p_3, t_3)=2, w(t_2, p_4)=1, w(t_3, p_5)=1, w(p_4, t_4)=3$
 $w(p_5, t_4)=1, w(t_4, p_1)=1$

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \{1, 0, 0, 2, 0\}$$



Example2: simple automation system modeled using PNs, properties?

An automatic soda selling machine accepts 50c and \$1 coins and sells 2 types of products: SODA A, that costs \$1.50 and SODA B, that costs \$2.00.

Assume that the money return operation is omitted.



Example3: (counter-example)

Different reachable sets but the **same reachability tree**

Decidability Problem:

Can one reach (1,0,1)? **Yes** in one net, **No** in the other one. Simple to answer in this net, but undecidable in general due to the symbol ω .

The reachability tree does not ensure decidability of state reachability.



Alternative definition (#3) of a Petri net

A marked Petri net is a 5-tuple ^[Iordache06]

(**P**, **T**, **D**⁺,
$$\mu_0$$
) or (**P**, **T**, **Pre**, **Post**, μ_0)

where:

P	- set of places	
Τ	- set of transitions	
Pre	- pre conditions matrix	$Pre : PxT \rightarrow N$
Post	- post conditions matrix	$Post: PxT \rightarrow N$
μ_0	- initial marking	$\mu_0 : P \rightarrow N$

Note: $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} - \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{Post} - \mathbf{Pre}$ is named the incidence matrix.

[Iordache06] "Supervisory control of concurrent systems: a Petri net structural approach", Marian Iordache and Panos J. Antsaklis, Birkhauser Boston, 2006

Alternative definition (#3), how to build the Incidence Matrix, D?



Petri net (P, T, D, D^+ , μ_0), $D = D^+ - D^-$

Set of places $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$

Set of transitions $T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$



Read the example marked by the arrows as "firing t_1 takes a mark from p_1 and adds a mark to p_2 and adds another mark to p_3 ".

Alternative definition (#3) of a Petri net, example arc weights



Page 24

Analysis Methods, 2- MME

Method of the Matrix Equations (MME) of State Evolution

The dynamics of the Petri net state can be written in compact form as:

$$\mu(k+1) = \mu(k) + Dq(k)$$

This methodology can also be used to study the other properties previously introduced. Requires some thought...;)

where:

 μ (k+1) - marking to be reached

- μ (k) initial marking
- q(k) firing vector (transitions)
- D incidence matrix. Accounts the balance of tokens, giving the transitions fired.

Analysis Methods, 2- MME

Method of the Matrix Equations (MME) of State Evolution

For a Petri net with *n* places and *m* transitions

$$\mu \in N_0^{-n}$$

$$q \in N_0^{-m}$$

$$D = D^+ - D^-, \quad D \in Z^{n \times m}, \quad D^+ \in N_0^{n \times m}, \quad D^- \in N_0^{n \times m}$$
The enabling firing rule is
$$\mu \ge D^- q$$

Can also be written in compact form as the inequality $\mu + Dq \ge 0$, interpreted element-by-element.

Note: unless otherwise stated in this course all vector and matrix inequalities are read element-by-element.

Properties that can be studied immediately with the Method of Matrix Equations:

• Reachability

Theorem, Sufficient Condition for *No Reachability* : if the problem of finding the transition firing vector that drives the state of a Petri net from μ to state μ ' has no solution, resorting to the method of matrix equations, then the problem of reachability of μ ' does not have solution.

- Conservation the weight vector is a by-product of the MME.
- Temporal invariance cycles of operation can be found.

Analysis Methods 1. Reachability

Reachability problem: Given a Petri net C with initial marking μ_{θ} , does the marking μ' belong to the set of all markings that can be obtained, i.e. $\mu' \in R(C, \mu)$?

Example using the method of matrix equations $\mu(k+1) = \mu(k) + Dq(k)$

Given the net:

Problem:

is $\mu(k+1)$ reachable?

Solution, find q(k): (note using σ_{ti} to avoid using q_i and confusing with q(i); this is to drop soon) $q(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{t1} \\ \sigma_{t2} \\ \sigma_{t3} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{cases} 1 = 1 - \sigma_{t2} \\ 3 = \sigma_{t1} + \sigma_{t2} - \sigma_{t3} \\ 0 = \sigma_{t2} \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \sigma_{t2} = 0 \\ \sigma_{t1} - \sigma_{t3} = 3 \\ 0 = \sigma_{t2} \end{cases}$ *Verify!*

 $\exists q \text{ such that } Dq(k) = \mu(k+1) - \mu(k)$ is a *necessary* but *not* sufficient condition.

Example of a Petri net

2. Conservation



To maintain the (weighted) number of tokens one writes:

$$w^T \mu' = w^T \mu + w^T Dq$$

and therefore:

$$w^T D = 0$$

 $\exists x > 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{cases} -w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 0 \\ -w_2 + w_4 = 0 \\ -w_3 + w_5 = 0 \\ w_1 - w_4 - w_5 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} w_1 = w_2 + w_3 \\ w_2 = w_4 \\ w_3 = w_5 \\ - \end{cases}$$

This example has a solution in the form of an undetermined system of equations, where we can choose:

$$w^T = [2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1].$$

Page 30

Example of a Petri net

3. Temporal invariance



To determine the transition firing vectors that make the Petri net return to the same state(s):

Dq = 0

 $\exists q \text{ is a necessary (not sufficient) condition}$

	-1	0	0	1	٢~٦	$(-q_1 + q_4 = 0)$
	1	-1	0	0	q_1	$q_1 - q_2 = 0$
<i>D</i> =	1	0	-1	0 ,	$q = \begin{vmatrix} q_2 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{cases} q_1 - q_3 = 0 \end{cases}$
	0	1	0	-1	q_3	$q_2 - q_4 = 0$
	0	0	1	-1	$\lfloor q_4 \rfloor$	$\left(q_3 - q_4 = 0 \right)$

This example has a solution in the form of an undetermined system of equations from which we can choose e.g.:

 $q = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Example for the analysis of properties:



Event	Pre-conditions	Pos-conditions
t1	-	p1
t2	p1, p2	p3
t3	p3	p4, p2
t4	p4	-

Q: Exists conservation ?

A: Find w such that $w^{T}.D=0$ if $\exists w > 0$ then net is conservative else it is not conservative

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & -1 & \\ & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w^{T} = [w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4}] = ?$$

Q2: What changes if initial marking in p2 is zero?

Discrete Event Systems Example of a simple automation system modeled using PNs

An automatic soda selling machine accepts 50c and \$1 coins and sells 2 types of products: SODA A, that costs \$1.50 and SODA B, that costs \$2.00.

Assume that the money return operation is omitted.

Q: Are there transition firing vectors that make the Petri net return to the same state? In other words, does the Petri net have cycles of operation?



Discrete Event Systems

Example of a simple automation system modeled using PNs

