

Industrial Automation

(Automação de Processos Industriais)

Discrete Event Systems

<http://users.isr.ist.utl.pt/~jag/courses/api1213/api1213.html>

Slides 2010/2011 Prof. Paulo Jorge Oliveira
Rev. 2011-2013 Prof. José Gaspar

Syllabus:

Chap. 5 – CAD/CAM and CNC [1 week]

...

Chap. 6 – Discrete Event Systems [2 weeks]

Discrete event systems modeling. Automata.

Petri Nets: state, dynamics, and modeling.

Extended and strict models. Subclasses of Petri nets.

...

Chap. 7 – Analysis of Discrete Event Systems [2 weeks]

Some pointers to Discrete Event Systems

History: <http://prosys.changwon.ac.kr/docs/petrinet/1.htm>

Tutorial: <http://vita.bu.edu/cgc/MIDEDS/>
<http://www.daimi.au.dk/PetriNets/>

Analyzers,
and
Simulators: <http://www.ppgia.pucpr.br/~maziero/petri/arp.html> (in Portuguese)
<http://wiki.daimi.au.dk:8000/cpntools/cpntools.wiki>
<http://www.informatik.hu-berlin.de/top/pnk/download.html>

Bibliography:

- * **Discrete Event Systems - Modeling and Performance Analysis**,
Christos G. Cassandras, Aksen Associates, 1993.
- * **Petri Net Theory and the Modeling of Systems**,
James L. Petersen, Prentice-Hall, 1981.
- * **Petri Nets and GRAFCET: Tools for Modeling Discrete Event Systems**
R. David, H. Alla, Prentice-Hall, 1992

Generic characterization of systems resorting to input / output relations

State equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), t) \\ y(t) = g(x(t), u(t), t) \end{cases}$$

in continuous time (or in discrete time)

Examples?




Figure 1.1. Simple modeling process.

Open loop vs closed-loop (\Leftrightarrow the use of feedback)




Figure 1.17. Open-loop and closed-loop systems.

Advantages of feedback?

(to revisit during SEDs supervision study)

Example of closed-loop with feedback




Figure 1.18. Flow system of Example 1.11 and closed-loop control model.

Discrete Event Systems: Examples

Set of events:

$$\mathbf{E} = \{N, S, E, W\}$$




Figure 1.20. Random walk on a plane for Example 1.12.





Figure 1.21. Event-driven random walk on a plane.

Discrete Event Systems: Examples

Queueing systems




Set of events:

$\mathbf{E} = \{ \text{arrival, departure} \}$

Discrete Event Systems: Examples

Computational Systems



Characteristics of systems with continuous variables

1. State space is continuous
2. The state transition mechanism is *time-driven*

Characteristics of systems with discrete events

1. State space is discrete
2. The state transition mechanism is *event-driven*

Polling is avoided!

Taxonomy of Systems






Figure 1.29. Major system classifications.

Levels of abstraction in the study of Discrete Event Systems

Languages



Timed languages



Stochastic timed languages

Systems' Theory Objectives

- Modeling and Analysis
- *Design* and synthesis
- Control / Supervision
- Performance assessment and robustness
- Optimization

Applications of Discrete Event Systems

- Queueing systems
- Operating systems and computers
- Telecommunications networks
- Distributed databases
- Automation


Discrete Event Systems

Typical modeling methodologies

Automata

GRAFCET

Petri nets



Augmenting
in
modeling
capacity
and
complexity

Automata Theory and Languages

Genesis of computation theory

Definition: A language L , defined over the alphabet \mathbf{E} is a set of *strings* of finite length with events from \mathbf{E} .

Examples: $\mathbf{E} = \{ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \}$

$L_1 = \{ \varepsilon, \alpha\alpha, \alpha\beta, \gamma\beta\alpha \}$

$L_2 = \{ \text{all strings of length 3} \}$

How to build a machine that “talks” a given language?

or

What language “talks” a system?

Operations / Properties of languages

E^* = Kleene-closure of E : set of all strings of finite length of E , including the null element ε .

Concatenation of L_a and L_b :

$$L_a L_b := \left\{ s \in E^* : s = s_a s_b, s_a \in L_a, s_b \in L_b \right\}$$

Prefix-closure of $L \subseteq E^*$:

$$\bar{L} := \left\{ s \in E^* : \exists_{t \in E^*} st \in L \right\}$$

Operations / Properties of languages

Example 2.1 (Operations on languages)

Let $E = \{a, b, g\}$, and consider the two languages $L_1 = \{\varepsilon, a, abb\}$ and $L_4 = \{g\}$. Neither L_1 nor L_4 are prefix-closed, since $ab \notin L_1$ and $\varepsilon \notin L_4$. Then:

$$L_1 L_4 = \{g, ag, abbg\}$$

$$\overline{L_1} = \{\varepsilon, a, ab, abb\}$$

$$\overline{L_4} = \{\varepsilon, g\}$$

$$L_1 \overline{L_4} = \{\varepsilon, a, abb, g, ag, abbg\}$$

$$L_4^* = \{\varepsilon, g, gg, ggg, \dots\}$$

$$L_1^* = \{\varepsilon, a, abb, aa, aabb, abba, abbabb, \dots\}$$

Automata Theory and Languages

Motivation: An automaton is a device capable of representing a language according to some rules.

Definition: A deterministic **automaton** is a 5-tuple

$$(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{F})$$

where:

\mathbf{E}	- finite alphabet (or possible events)	
\mathbf{X}	- finite set of states	
\mathbf{f}	- state transition function	$\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$
\mathbf{x}_0	- initial state	$\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{X}$
\mathbf{F}	- set of final states or marked states	$\mathbf{F} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$

[Cassandras93]

Example of an automaton

$$(E, X, f, x_0, F)$$

$$E = \{ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \}$$

$$X = \{ x, y, z \}$$

$$x_0 = x$$

$$F = \{ x, z \}$$




Figure 2.1. State transition diagram for Example 2.3.

$$f(x, \alpha) = x$$

$$f(x, \beta) = z$$

$$f(x, \gamma) = z$$

$$f(y, \alpha) = x$$

$$f(y, \beta) = y$$

$$f(y, \gamma) = y$$

$$f(z, \alpha) = y$$

$$f(z, \beta) = z$$

$$f(z, \gamma) = y$$

Example of a stochastic automata

$$(E, X, f, x_0, F)$$

$$E = \{ \alpha, \beta \}$$

$$X = \{0, 1\}$$

$$x_0 = 0$$

$$F = \{0\}$$




Figure 2.4. State transition diagram for the nondeterministic automaton of Example 2.7.

$$f(0, \alpha) = \{0, 1\} \quad f(0, \beta) = \{\}$$

$$f(1, \alpha) = \{\} \quad f(1, \beta) = 0$$

Given an automaton

$$\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{F})$$

the **Generated Language** is defined as


$$L(\mathbf{G}) := \{s \in E^* : f(x_0, s) \text{ is defined}\}$$

Note: if f is always defined then $L(G)=E^$*

and the **Marked Language** is defined as

$$L_m(\mathbf{G}) := \{s \in E^* : f(x_0, s) \in \mathbf{F}\}$$

Example: marked language of an automaton



$$L(\mathbf{G}) := \{ \varepsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, baa, \dots \}$$

$$L_m(\mathbf{G}) := \{ a, aa, ba, aaa, baa, bba, \dots \}$$

Concluding, in this example $L_m(\mathbf{G})$ means all strings with events a and b , ended by event a .

Automata equivalence:

The automata G_1 e G_2 are equivalent if

$$L(G_1) = L(G_2)$$

and

$$L_m(G_1) = L_m(G_2)$$

Example of an automata:

Objective: To validate a sequence of events





Figure 2.6. State transition diagrams for digit sequence detector in Example 2.9.

Deadlocks (*inter-blocagem*)

Example:



The state 5 is a *deadlock*.

The states 3 and 4
constitutes a *livelock*.

How to find
the *deadlocks* and
the *livelocks*?

Need methodologies
for the analysis
of
Discrete Event Systems

Deadlock:

in general the following relations are verified

$$L_m(G) \subseteq \bar{L}_m(G) \subseteq L(G)$$

An automaton G has a deadlock if

$$\bar{L}_m(G) \subset L(G)$$

and is not blocked when


$$\bar{L}_m(G) = L(G)$$

Deadlock:

Example:

$$L_m(G) = \{ab, abgab, abgabgab, \dots\}$$

$$L(G) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon, a, ab, ag, aa, aab, \\ abg, aaba, abga, \dots \end{array} \right\}$$



The state 5 is a *deadlock*.


The states 3 and 4
constitutes a *livelock*.

$$(L_m(G) \subset L(G))$$

$$\bar{L}_m(G) \neq L(G)$$


Alternative way to detect deadlocks:

Example:



The state 5 is a *deadlock*.

The states 3 and 4
constitutes a *livelock*.



Timed Discrete Event Systems




Figure 3.10. The event scheduling scheme.

Petri nets

Developed by Carl Adam Petri in his PhD thesis in 1962.

Definition: A marked Petri net is a *5-tuple*

$$(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_0)$$

where:

\mathbf{P} - set of places

\mathbf{T} - set of transitions

\mathbf{A} - set of arcs $\mathbf{A} \subset (\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{T}) \cup (\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{P})$

\mathbf{w} - weight function $\mathbf{w}: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$

\mathbf{x}_0 - initial marking $\mathbf{x}_0: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$

Example of a Petri net

$$(P, T, A, w, x_0)$$

$$P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$$

$$T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$$

$$A = \{(p_1, t_1), (t_1, p_2), (t_1, p_3), (p_2, t_2), (p_3, t_3), \\ (t_2, p_4), (t_3, p_5), (p_4, t_4), (p_5, t_4), (t_4, p_1)\}$$

$$w(p_1, t_1) = 1, w(t_1, p_2) = 1, w(t_1, p_3) = 1, w(p_2, t_2) = 1 \\ w(p_3, t_3) = 2, w(t_2, p_4) = 1, w(t_3, p_5) = 1, w(p_4, t_4) = 3 \\ w(p_5, t_4) = 1, w(t_4, p_1) = 1$$

$$x_0 = \{1, 0, 0, 2, 0\}$$

Example of a Petri net

$$(P, T, A, w, x_0)$$

$$P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$$

$$T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$$

$$A = \{(p_1, t_1), (t_1, p_2), (t_1, p_3), (p_2, t_2), (p_3, t_3), (t_2, p_4), (t_3, p_5), (p_4, t_4), (p_5, t_4), (t_4, p_1)\}$$


$$w(p_1, t_1) = 1, w(t_1, p_2) = 1, w(t_1, p_3) = 1, w(p_2, t_2) = 1$$

$$w(p_3, t_3) = 2, w(t_2, p_4) = 1, w(t_3, p_5) = 1, w(p_4, t_4) = 3$$

$$w(p_5, t_4) = 1, w(t_4, p_1) = 1$$

$$x_0 = \{1, 0, 0, 2, 0\}$$

Petri net graph



Petri nets

Rules to follow (mandatory):

- **Arcs** (directed connections)
connect **places** to **transitions** and
connect **transitions** to **places**
- A **transition** can have no **places** directly as inputs (source),
i.e. must exist arcs between transitions and places
- A **transition** can have no **places** directly as outputs (sink),
i.e. must exist arcs between transitions and places
- The same happens with the input and output **transitions** for **places**

Alternative definition of a Petri net

A marked Petri net is a *5-tuple*

$$(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{O}, \mu_0)$$

where:

\mathbf{P} - set of places

\mathbf{T} - set of transitions

\mathbf{I} - transition input function

\mathbf{O} - transition output function

μ_0 - initial marking

$$\mathbf{I} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty$$

$$\mathbf{O} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty$$

$$\mu_0 : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

Note: $\mathbf{P}^\infty =$ bag of places

Example of a Petri net and its graphical representation

Alternative definition

(P, T, I, O, μ_0)

$P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\}$

$T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$

$I(t_1) = \{p_1\}$

$I(t_2) = \{p_2\}$

$I(t_3) = \{p_3, p_3\}$

$I(t_4) = \{p_4, p_4, p_4, p_5\}$


$O(t_1) = \{p_2, p_3\}$

$O(t_2) = \{p_4\}$

$O(t_3) = \{p_5\}$

$O(t_4) = \{p_1\}$


$\mu_0 = \{1, 0, 0, 2, 0\}$



Petri nets

The **state** of a Petri net is characterized by the marking of all places.

The set of all possible markings of a Petri net corresponds to its **state space**.



How does the state of a Petri net evolve?

Execution Rules for Petri Nets (Dynamics of Petri nets)

A transition $t_j \in T$ is **enabled** if:

$$\forall p_i \in P: \mu(p_i) \geq \#(p_i, I(t_j))$$

A transition $t_j \in T$ may **fire** whenever enabled, resulting in a new marking given by:


$$\mu'(p_i) = \mu(p_i) - \#(p_i, I(t_j)) + \#(p_i, O(t_j))$$

$\#(p_i, I(t_j)) =$ multiplicity of the arc from p_i to t_j

$\#(p_i, O(t_j)) =$ multiplicity of the arc from t_j to p_i

[Peterson81 §2.3]

Execution Rules for Petri Nets (Dynamics of Petri nets)



$$\mu'(p_i) = \mu(p_i) - \#(p_i, I(t_j)) + \#(p_i, O(t_j))$$

Petri nets


Example of evolution of a Petri net

Initial marking:

$$\mu_0 = \{1, 0, 1, 2, 0\}$$

This discrete event system
can not change state.

It is in a *deadlock!*



Petri nets: Conditions and Events

Example: Machine **waits until an order appears** and then **machines the ordered part** and **sends it out for delivery**.


Conditions:

- a) The server is idle.
- b) A job arrives and waits to be processed
- c) The server is processing the job
- d) The job is complete

Event	Pre-conditions	Pos-conditions
1	-	b
2	a, b	c
3	c	d, a
4	d	-


Events

- 1) Job arrival
- 2) Server starts processing
- 3) Server finishes processing
- 4) The job is delivered




Petri nets: Modeling mechanisms

Concurrence




Conflict




Petri nets: Modeling mechanisms

Mutual Exclusion



Place m represents the permission to enter the critical section


Producer / Consumer




B = one element buffer

Petri nets: Modeling mechanisms

Producer / Consumer
with finite capacity



s Readers / t Writers



Discrete Event Systems

Example of a simple automation system modeled using PNs

An automatic soda selling machine accepts


50c and \$1 coins and

sells 2 types of products:

SODA A, that costs \$1.50 and

SODA B, that costs \$2.00.


Assume that the money return operation is omitted.



p_1 : machine with \$0.00;
 t_1 : coin of 50 c introduced;
 t_8 : SODA B sold.

Extensions to Petri nets

Switches [Baer 1973]




Possible to be implemented with restricted Petri nets.

Extensions to Petri nets

Inhibitor Arcs

**Equivalent to
nets with priorities**




Can be implemented with restricted Petri nets?

Zero tests...

Infinity tests...


Extensions to Petri nets

P-Timed nets



Extensions to Petri nets


T-Timed nets




Extensions to Petri nets

Stochastic nets

Stochastic switches



Transitions with stochastic timings
described by a stochastic variable
with known pdf



Discrete Event Systems


Sub-classes of Petri nets

State Machine:

Petri nets where each **transition** has exactly **one input arc** and **one output arc**.

Marked Graphs:

Petri nets where each **place** has exactly **one input arc** and **one output arc**.



Discrete Event Systems


Example of DES:

Manufacturing system composed by 2 machines (M_1 and M_2) and a robotic manipulator (R). This takes the finished parts from machine M_1 and transports them to M_2 .

No buffers available on the machines.
If R arrives near M_1 and the machine is busy, the part is rejected.

If R arrives near M_2 and the machine is busy, the manipulator must wait.

Machining time: $M_1=0.5s$; $M_2=1.5s$; $R_{M_1 \rightarrow M_2}=0.2s$; $R_{M_2 \rightarrow M_1}=0.1s$;



Discrete Event Systems

Example of DES:

Variables of

M_1	x_1
M_2	x_2
R	x_3


Example of arrival of parts:

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } \{0.1, 0.7, 1.1, 1.6, 2.5\} \\ 0 & \text{in other time stamps} \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = \{\text{Idle, Busy, Waiting}\}$$

$$x_2 = \{\text{Idle, Busy}\}$$

$$x_3 = \{\text{Idle, Carrying, Returning}\}$$



Discrete Event Systems


Example of DES:

Definition of events:



- a_1 - loads part in M_1
- d_1 - ends part processing in M_1

- r_1 - loads manipulator
- r_2 - unloads manipulator and loads M_2

- d_2 - ends part processing in M_2
- r_3 - manipulator at base



Discrete Event Systems



Discrete Event Systems

Example of DES:

Events:

- a_1 - loads part in M_1
- d_1 - ends part processing in M_1
- r_1 - loads manipulator
- r_2 - unloads manipulator and loads M_2
- d_2 - ends part processing in M_2
- r_3 - manipulator at base

